# STATE OF RHODE ISLAND AND PROVIDENCE PLANTATIONS DIVISION OF TAXATION

ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING

FINAL DECISION AND ORDER

# STATE OF RHODE ISLAND AND PROVIDENCE PLANTATIONS DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE DIVISION OF TAXATION ONE CAPITOL HILL PROVIDENCE, RHODE ISLAND 02908

IN THE MATTER OF:

**Personal Income Tax** 

Case No.: 10-T-0021

Taxpayers.

# **DECISION**

# I. INTRODUCTION

The above-entitled matter came before the undersigned as the result of a Notice of Hearing and Appointment of Hearing Officer dated September 24, 2010, and issued to the above captioned taxpayers ("Taxpayer")<sup>1</sup> by the Division of Taxation ("Division") in response to a request for hearing filed with the Division. A hearing was held on January 20, 2011 at which time the Taxpayer did not appear despite being noticed of hearing. After the hearing, the Taxpayer requested that the matter be reopened to which the Division did not object so a second day of hearing was held on March 31, 2011 for the Taxpayer to presents his case. The Division was represented by

Chief Revenue Agent. The Taxpayer represented himself. The parties rested on the record.

# II. <u>JURISDICTION</u>

The Division has jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to R.I. Gen. Laws § 44-30-1 et seq., and R.I. Gen. Laws § 44-1-1 et seq., the Division of Legal Services Regulation 1

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The taxpayers are married and the husband appeared at the hearing and testified so this decision will refer to the husband as Taxpayer.

Rules of Procedure for Administrative Hearings, and the Division of Taxation Administrative Hearing Procedures Regulation AHP 97-01.

# III. ISSUE

Whether the Taxpayer's refund claim for the calendar years 2005 and 2006 were timely filed pursuant to R.I. Gen. Laws § 44-30-87.

# IV. MATERIAL FACTS AND TESTIMONY

Principal Revenue Agent, testified on behalf of the Division. She testified that Taxpayer's non-resident 2005 personal income tax return which was due April 15, 2006 was filed on April 9, 2007. See Division's Exhibit One (1). She testified that Taxpayer's non-resident 2006 personal income tax return was due April 15, 2007 and was filed on August 18, 2009. See Division's Exhibit Two (2). She testified that both returns were correct as prepared and both requested refunds. She testified that both years' tax was paid via with withholding tax. See Division's Exhibits Three (3) and Four (4) (W-2's for 2005 and 2006). She testified that under R.I. Gen. Laws § 44-30-87, the Taxpayer was out of time for requesting refunds for both his 2005 and 2006 personal income returns and denial letters for both years were issued to the Taxpayer. See Division's Exhibits Seven (7) and Eight (8).

The Taxpayer testified on his behalf. He testified that he has never been a resident of Rhode Island and is currently not a resident of Rhode Island. He testified that between 1987 and 2005 he owned his own business but in October 2005, he took a position located in Cranston, Rhode Island. He testified that he closed his own business but that took time since his business was an S Corporation. He testified that he had to close his business first before filing his returns. He testified that he filed his 2005

through 2009 returns for Massachusetts (where he lives), Rhode Island, and the Federal government in 2009. He testified that he received his refund from Rhode Island for 2007 and 2008. He testified that he had no idea that there was a time limit on the Rhode Island returns and had no idea such a statute existed. He testified he would like his refund because it is his money.

# V. <u>DISCUSSION</u>

# A. Legislative Intent

The Rhode Island Supreme Court has consistently held that it effectuates legislative intent by examining a statute in its entirety and giving words their plain and ordinary meaning. In re Falstaff Brewing Corp., 637 A.2d 1047 (R.I. 1994). See Parkway Towers Associates v. Godfrey, 688 A.2d 1289 (R.I. 1997). If a statute is clear and unambiguous, "the Court must interpret the statute literally and must give the words of the statute their plain and ordinary meanings." Oliveira v. Lombardi, 794 A.2d 453 (R.I. 2002) (citation omitted). The Supreme Court has also established that it will not interpret legislative enactments in a manner that renders them nugatory or that would produce an unreasonable result. See Defenders of Animals v. Dept. of Environmental Management, 553 A.2d 541 (R.I. 1989) (citing Cocchini v. City of Providence, 479 A.2d 108 (R.I. 1984)). In cases where a statute may contain ambiguous language, the Rhode Island Supreme Court has consistently held that the legislative intent must be considered. Providence Journal Co. v. Rodgers, 711 A.2d 1131 (R.I. 1998). The statutory provisions must be examined in their entirety and the meaning most consistent with the policies and purposes of the legislature must be effectuated. Id.

#### B. Relevant Statute

R.I. Gen. Laws § 44-30-87(a) states as follows:

Limitations on credit or refund. – (a) General. Claim for credit or refund of an overpayment of tax shall be filed by the taxpayer within three (3) years from the time the return was filed or two (2) years from the time the tax was paid, whichever of these periods expires the later, or if no return was filed by the taxpayer, within two (2) years from the time the tax was paid. If the claim is filed within the three (3) year period, the amount of the credit or refund shall not exceed the portion of the tax paid within the three (3) year period, but is filed within the two (2) year period, the amount of the credit or refund shall not exceed the portion of the tax paid during the two (2) years immediately preceding the filing of the claim. Except as otherwise provided in this section, if no claim is filed, the amount of a credit or refund shall not exceed the amount which would be allowable if a claim has been filed on the date the credit or refund is allowed.

#### C. When Refunds are Allowed

R.I. Gen. Laws § 44-30-87 provides different time periods within which a refund is allowed. A refund may be claimed within three (3) years of filing a return. If a claim is made within the three (3) year period, the amount of credit cannot exceed the amount of tax paid within that three (3) year period. A claim may be filed within two (2) years from the time the tax was paid. If a claim is made within the two (2) year period, the amount of refund may not exceed the portion of tax paid during the two (2) years preceding the filing of the claim.

Pursuant to R.I. Gen. Laws § 44-30-87(i),<sup>2</sup> the Taxpayer's tax was deemed paid on the date it was due: April 15, 2006 and April 15, 2007. In addition, R.I. Gen. Laws §

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> R.I. Gen. Laws § 44-30-87(i) states as follows:

<sup>(</sup>i) Prepaid income tax. For purposes of this section, any income tax withheld from the taxpayer during any calendar year and any amount paid as estimated income tax for a taxable year is deemed to have been paid by the taxpayer on the fifteenth day of the fourth month following the close of his or her taxable year with respect to which the amount constitutes credit or payment.

44-30-51<sup>3</sup> states that Rhode Island personal income tax returns are to be filed by April 15 after the close of the taxable year. R.I. Gen. Laws § 44-30-52<sup>4</sup> states that tax shall be paid on or before the date fixed for filing without regard to an extension. Thus, applying the statute results in the following timeline:

- 1. The Taxpayer's 2005 tax was deemed paid on April 15, 2006. The Taxpayer filed the 2005 Rhode Island return on April 9, 2009 and claimed a refund. April 9, 2009 is past the two (2) year period from the date the taxes were deemed paid that is allowed for requesting a refund.
- 2. The Taxpayer's 2006 tax was deemed paid on April 15, 2007. The Taxpayer filed the 2006 Rhode Island return on August 18, 2009 and claimed a refund. August 18, 2009 is past the two (2) year period from the date the taxes were deemed paid that is allowed for requesting a refund.
- 3. The statute also allows a claim for a refund to be filed within three (3) years from the date of the return being filed.
- 4. Thus, the Taxpayer may file a request for a refund for each return within three (3) years of filing each return.

Returns and liabilities. -(a) General. On or before the fifteenth day of the fourth month following the close of a taxable year, a Rhode Island personal income tax return shall be made and filed by or for:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> R.I. Gen. Laws § 44-30-51 states in parts as follows:

<sup>(1)</sup> Every resident individual required to file a federal income tax return for the taxable year, or having Rhode Island income for the taxable year, determined under § 44-30-12, in excess of the sum of his federal personal exemptions.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> R.I. Gen. Laws § 44-30-52 states in part as follows:

Time and place for filing returns and paying tax. — A person required to make and file a Rhode Island personal income tax return shall, without assessment, notice, or demand, pay any tax due thereon to the tax administrator on or before the date fixed for filing the return, determined without regard to any extension of time for filing the return. The tax administrator shall prescribe the place for filing any return, declaration, statement, or other document and for payment of the tax.

- 5. The Taxpayer is within the three (3) year period to claim a refund for each return
- 6. The statute specifically limits the amount of a refund for those filed in the three (3) year period to the portion of tax paid "within the three (3) year period" as opposed to those requests filed within the two (2) year period which are limited to tax paid "during the two (2) years immediately preceding the filing of the claim."
  - 7. The Taxpayer has not paid any tax from April 9, 2009 to the present.
  - 8. The Taxpayer has not paid any tax from August 18, 2009 to the present.

Pursuant to the tenets of statutory construction, a statute must be examined in its entirety and words be given their plain and ordinary meaning. *Infra*. The State statute states that the beginning of the three (3) year period is when the return was filed and that the time period is within three (3) years from when the return was filed. This unambiguous prospective application is further clarified by the fact that the statute clearly delineates that the two (2) year claim period refers to the period immediately preceding the filing date. Indeed, when reviewing the statute in its entirety and applying the plain meaning of the language, it is clear that the legislature intended to strictly limit the time to claim a refund and amounts of refunds. The legislature could have chosen to make the three (3) year period like the two (2) year period but chose not to. Indeed, it chose instead to strictly limit the time allowed and the amount of refunds claimed.

It should be noted that the Federal rule is different from the rule in Rhode Island and does not apply to Rhode Island. The provisions of Internal Revenue Code Section  $6511(b)(2)(A)^5$  are different from the Rhode Island statute.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> § 6511 states in part as follows:

The Taxpayer argued that he did not know the relevant statutory provisions regarding refunds. He cites to no statutory or regulatory basis for this argument to permit his refund request. Everyone is presumed to know the laws of this State so failure to know the time limit is not an excuse or reason to receive a refund. See *In Re Ferrey*, 774 A.2d 62 (R.I. 2001). The Taxpayer also apparently argued that this was a matter of fairness as he felt he was entitled to his money. However, an administrative proceeding is not an equitable proceeding and there is no equitable jurisdiction. To find for the Taxpayer on the basis of a fairness argument would be reversible error. *Nickerson v. Reitsma*, 853 A.2d 1202 (R.I. 2004).

Finally, an agency's acquiescence to a continued practice is entitled to great weight in determining legislative intent. R.I. Gen. Laws § 44-30-87 was enacted in 1971 and has not been amended. While the three (3) year period clearly refers to the period

Limitations on credit or refund

<sup>(</sup>a) Period of limitation on filing claim. Claim for credit or refund of an overpayment of any tax imposed by this title in respect of which tax the taxpayer is required to file a return shall be filed by the taxpayer within 3 years from the time the return was filed or 2 years from the time the tax was paid, whichever of such periods expires the later, or if no return was filed by the taxpayer, within 2 years from the time the tax was paid. Claim for credit or refund of an overpayment of any tax imposed by this title which is required to be paid by means of a stamp shall be filed by the taxpayer within 3 years from the time the tax was paid.

<sup>(</sup>b) Limitation on allowance of credits and refunds.

<sup>(2)</sup> Limit on amount of credit or refund.

<sup>(</sup>A) Limit where claim filed within 3-year period. If the claim was filed by the taxpayer during the 3-year period prescribed in subsection (a), the amount of the credit or refund shall not exceed the portion of the tax paid within the period, immediately preceding the filing of the claim, equal to 3 years plus the period of any extension of time for filing the return. If the tax was required to be paid by means of a stamp, the amount of the credit or refund shall not exceed the portion of the tax paid within the 3 years immediately preceding the filing of the claim.

<sup>§ 6511(</sup>a) only refers to when late claims may be made. Section 6511(b)(2)(A) addresses the issue of the amount that a taxpayer may receive when filing a late refund. Thus, it is in § 6511(b)(2)(A) that the immediately preceding language is put in to explain how much money may be obtained through a refund. Rhode Island chose to put the time limit and amount limit into one (1) section.

Thus the Federal statute contrasts with the State statute where the three (3) period is "within" rather than "immediately preceding."

from the date of filing, it is a well-recognized principle that a longstanding, practical and plausible interpretation given a statute of doubtful meaning by those responsible for its implementation without any interference by the Legislature should be accepted as evidence that such a construction conforms to the legislative intent. Thus, if it was found that the statute was unclear, Taxation's long standing interpretation is entitled to deference. *Trice v. City of Cranston*, 297 A.2d 649 (R.I. 1972).

Based on the forgoing, the Taxpayer does not qualify for his claimed refunds pursuant to R.I. Gen. Laws § 44-30-87. See *Tax Decision*, 2007-10 (May 10, 2007).

# VI. FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. On or about September 24, 2010, the Division issued a Notice of Hearing and an Appointment of Hearing Officer to the Taxpayer.
- 2. A hearing in this matter was held on January 20 and March 31, 2011. The parties rested on the record.
- 3. The Taxpayer's 2005 tax payment was due by April 15, 2006 and was deemed paid on that day. The Taxpayer filed the return on April 9, 2009 and claimed a refund for overpayment of tax.
- 4. The Taxpayer's 2006 tax payment was due by April 15, 2007 and was deemed paid on that day. The Taxpayer filed the return on August 18, 2009 and claimed a refund for overpayment of tax.
- 5. There are no Rhode Island statutory or regulatory provisions that provide for any exemptions for any reason from the Rhode Island statute regarding the claiming of late refunds to the filing of Rhode Island tax returns.

6. Pursuant to R.I. Gen. Laws § 44-30-87(a), the Taxpayer is not entitled to the claimed refund.

# VII. <u>CONCLUSIONS OF LAW</u>

Based on the testimony and facts presented:

- 1. The Division has jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to R.I. Gen. Laws § 44-30-1 et seq. and R.I. Gen. Laws § 44-1-1 et seq.
- 2. Pursuant to R.I. Gen. Laws § 44-30-87(a), the Taxpayer is not entitled to the refund claimed.

# VIII. <u>RECOMMENDATION</u>

Based on the above analysis, the Hearing Officer recommends as follows:

Pursuant to R.I. Gen. Laws § 44-30-87(a), the Taxpayer is not entitled to the refund claimed and the Division properly denied the Taxpayer's claim for the refund.

Date: 5/26/11

Catherine R. Warren Hearing Officer

# <u>ORDER</u>

I have read the Hearing Officer's Decision and Recommendation in this matter, and I hereby take the following action with regard to the Decision and Recommendation:

Dated: 5 27 11 ·

David Sullivan
Tax Administrator

ADOPT REJECT MQDIFY

# NOTICE OF APPELLATE RIGHTS

THIS DECISION CONSTITUTES A FINAL ORDER OF THE DIVISION. THIS ORDER MAY BE APPEALED TO THE SIXTH DIVISION DISTRICT COURT PURSUANT TO R.I. Gen. Laws § 44-30-90 WHICH STATES AS FOLLOWS:

# § 44-30-90 Review of tax administrator's decision.

- (a) General. Any taxpayer aggrieved by the decision of the tax administrator or his or her designated hearing officer as to his or her Rhode Island personal income tax may within thirty (30) days after notice of the decision is sent to the taxpayer by certified or registered mail, directed to his or her last known address, petition the sixth division of the district court pursuant to chapter 8 of title 8 setting forth the reasons why the decision is alleged to be erroneous and praying relief therefrom. Upon the filing of any complaint, the clerk of the court shall issue a citation, substantially in the form provided in § 44-5-26 to summon the tax administrator to answer the complaint, and the court shall proceed to hear the complaint and to determine the correct amount of the liability as in any other action for money, but the burden of proof shall be as specified in § 8-8-28.
- (b) Judicial review sole remedy of taxpayer. The review of a decision of the tax administrator provided by this section shall be the exclusive remedy available to any taxpayer for the judicial determination of the liability of the taxpayer for Rhode Island personal income tax.
- (c) Date of finality of tax administrator's decision. A decision of the tax administrator shall become final upon the expiration of the time allowed for petitioning the district court if no timely petition is filed, or upon the final expiration of the time for further judicial review of the case.

# **CERTIFICATION**

$\sim 280$
I hereby certify that on the day of May, 2011, a copy of the above
Decision and Notice of Appellate Rights were sent by first class mail, postage prepaid
and return receipt requested to the Taxpayer's authorized representative's address on file
with the Division of Taxation and by hand delivery to
Department of Revenue, One Capitol Hill, Providence, Rhode Island, 02908.
$W \in M \setminus M$

Lau Belasco